

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,552	01/17/2002	Mark S. Styduhar	BUR920010094	8934	
28211 7	28211 7590 12/01/2005			EXAMINER	
	. W. GIBB, III	NGUYEN, HIEP			
GIBB INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW FIRM, LLC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2568-A RIVA ROAD			ARTONII	PAPER NOMBER	
SUITE 304			2816		
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	\ X					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/683,552	STYDUHAR, MARK S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hiep Nguyen	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	September 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 21-40 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-34</u> is/are allowed.	· <u> </u>					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>35 and 40</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>36-39</u> is/are objected to.	ar alastian raquirament					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen2. Certified copies of the priority documen		tion No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •					
application from the International Burea	· · · ·	od iii tiilo itational otago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/683,552

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on 09-15-05 has been received and entered in the case. New ground of rejections necessitated by the amendment is set forth below.

. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chevallier et al. (US 6,229,352).

Regarding claim 35, figure 2 of Chevallier shows a comparator having a pair of trip points corresponding to the falling/rising edges of the input signals comprising a comparator (12), passgates (26, 28) coupled to the input signal. It is well to one having skills in the art that the comparator cycles between the analog configuration when the input voltage is lower than the threshold voltage and the digital configuration when the input signal is higher than the threshold voltage. Because of the hysteresis provides by the passgates (col. 3, lines 52-55), the feedback signal, the value of the reference voltage, the delay between the rising edge and the falling edge transition at the output signal and the output pulse width is controlled. For more information about hysteresis, see (US 6,229,350).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

Application/Control Number: 09/683,552

Art Unit: 2816

ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chevallier et al. (US 6,229,352).

Regarding claim 40, figure 2 of Chevallier includes all the limitations of claim 40 except for the limitation that the comparator spends approximately 80% of the cycle time in the digital configuration. However, it is old and well known in the art that depending on the level of the reference voltage, the cycle time in the digital configuration varies. Therefore, the selection of the particular values of reference voltage is considered to be design expedient depending upon a particular environment or an application in which the circuit of Chevallier is to be used. Lacking of showing any criticality, a skilled artisan would be motivated to select proper values of reference voltage so that the comparator spends 80% of the cycle time in the digital configuration for providing a digital signal with long duty cycle to other parts of the circuit.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 36-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 21-34 are allowed.

Claims 36-39 are objected to because the prior art of records (US 6,229,352) fails to teach of fairly suggest a comparator having a plurality of inverters coupled to a plurality of transmission gates for buffering the output signal wherein the buffered output signal is returned as two signals to control the plurality of transmission gates as called for in claims 36 and 37.

Claims 21-34 are allowed because the prior art of records (US 6,229,352) fails to teach of fairly suggest a comparator having a plurality of inverters coupled to a plurality of transmission gates for buffering the output signal wherein the buffered output signal is returned as two signals to control the plurality of transmission gates as called for in claims 21 and 29.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,552

Art Unit: 2816

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hiep Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hiep Nguyen

11-16-05

PRIMARY EXAMINER